

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,756	Applicant(s) VAN DIJK, MARTEN E.	
	Examiner LONGBIT CHAI	Art Unit 2431	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LONGBIT CHAI. (3)_____.

(2) Thomas E. Kocovsky (Reg. No. 28,383). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,16 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: EP 0511420.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed with attorney to place the application in condition of allowance. Examiner requested to amend the claims 1,9,16 and 24 and worked with Applicant to reach a common agreement to present a proposal of claim amendments for allowance. Applicant authorized Examiner for Examiner's amendment to expedite the prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Longbit Chai/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431